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Abstract
This study investigated the relationship between corrective feedback and ELT students’ motivation. This study utilized both quantitative and qualitative research aimed at determining of the grade 1 to pre-university students’ and teachers’ perceptions and attitudes about corrective feedback. Qualitative data collected to gain more in-depth information about why teachers and students preferred a particular type or amount of feedback. Two hundred and forty participants were selected from grad 1 to pre-university at high school in Bandar Abbas. These respondents had average level of English language proficiency, a situation faced by many students in this area who rarely used English language outside the school. The instruments used in this study were questionnaire and interview to determine students’ perception on their views on using corrective feedback in the English class and also to investigate whether the use of corrective feedback has great effects on students’ motivation. Data analyzed by SPSS version 17 in Likert scale to determine the respondents’ perception of using corrective feedback. In addition, interviews transcribed to investigate the effects of using corrective feedback in motivation and what type of corrective feedback is preferred by teachers and students. Results of the study indicate that the students have positive attitudes about using corrective feedback and the use of corrective feedback is more effective in improving students’ learning and their motivation. It is hoped that the findings of this research would provide the reason why the corrective feedback should not be neglected when teaching a foreign language rather it should be looked upon as a resource for foreign language learning EFL students.

Keywords: feedback, correlative feedback, motivation

INTRODUCTION
Many reviews have since been led, looking into and contrasting changed sorts of CF and each other and to a control bunch. They assert diverse sorts and parts of CF to be best for L2 journalists. Bitchener, Young and Cameron (2005) directed a review expecting to discover to what degree the kind of CF given on ESL under studies’ writings decided their
precision while creating new composition. What they found was that unequivocal, composed input in mix with oral coordinated criticism altogether enhanced the members writing as far as both past basic tense and the clear article. It likewise enhanced the written work exactness after some time. The specialists at last propose that "classroom L2 composing educators give their learners both oral criticism and composed input on the more ""treatable"" sorts of etymological mistake all the time" (Bitchener, Young & Cameron 2005, p. 202). As opposed to Bitchener, Young and Cameron (2005), Sheen (2010) looked at the different viability of utilizing oral input or composed remedial criticism on learners' exact utilization of English articles. The outcome uncovered that the composed direct amendment demonstrated more prominent impacts than oral recast in helping learners enhance their syntactic precision of English articles. There was no confirmation demonstrating that the oral recast gathering and control amass gained any ground concerning syntactic precision of English articles. The scientist reasoned that there are contrasts between oral restorative criticism and composed remedial input: oral recasts are more understood though composed remedial criticism is unequivocal and the remedial capacity is clear to the learner. Subsequently, learners won’t not see blunders they submitted with oral restorative input and that could be the motivation behind why it was not successful. Sheen expresses that the viability of the CF relied on upon the clarity (Sheen 2010).

Like Sheen (2010), Storch and Wigglesworth (2010) likewise discovered outside variables to influence the viability of the given CF. The scientists led a review planning to discover how L2 learners handle coordinate/backhanded CF and what calculates that influence them, the outcomes were uncertain (Storch & Wigglesworth, 2010). Which kind of CF that worked best relied on upon which under study it was given to and furthermore how broad that under study's engagement with the input was. Regardless of whether the CF was powerful relied on upon an intricate collaboration of semantic and full of feeling variables (for example, understudy conviction, state of mind, objectives, and so on).

**Corrective Feedback**

The transactions amongst local and non-native speakers have been examined in exploratory settings or classroom observations in the most recent couple of decades. The discoveries of these reviews propose that learners advantage not just from the positive confirmation gave amid the discussion, additionally the remedial input got either certainly or expressly (Ayoun, 2001). A concise definition for remedial criticism is made by Long (1996) as data taking after a mistake delivered by the dialect learner. In such manner, remedial criticism is either verifiable—as recasts or express which is given as meta-linguistic data, for example, clarification of a rule. While recasts are pushed for their non-interruptive part, meta-linguistic input, then again is asserted to be more powerful for long haul obtaining of target structures than certain types of criticism (Ellis, Loewen & Erlam, 2006). Lyster and Ranta (1997) characterize take-up as "an under study's expression that instantly takes after the instructor's criticism and that constitutes a response somehow to the educator's goal to attract thoughtfulness regarding some part of the under study's underlying articulation" (p. 49). Like their review, the present review
likewise utilizes take-up as a sign of seeing which is operationalized in this review as the edge at which the learner analyzes old and new data and understands the distinction.

In the previous couple of decades, SLA examine has focused on social character (e.g., Peirce, 1995), singular contrasts (e.g., Robinson, 2001), learners' view of restorative criticism (e.g., Mackey, Gass, & McDonough, 2000) and in addition social contrasts between these observations (e.g., Schulz, 2001) and impacts of instructor's experience (e.g., Mackey, Polio, & McDonough, 2004). The audit article of Ellis and Sheen (2006) particularly demonstrated the requirement for more research on socio-mental components that may impact learners' receptivity to restorative criticism, in which they presumed that recasts don't happen in a social vacuum and their adequacy may be affected by socio mental elements that decide learners' receptivity to them.

Input is one of the basic aspects in rousing the understudies' inspiration in dialect adapting particularly in composing. Ellis (2009) showed that in both basic and informative ways to deal with dialect educating, criticism is seen as a method for encouraging learner inspiration and guaranteeing phonetic exactness. Improving the understudies' inspiration in composing is not a simple assignment for the teachers as they have to know the most ideal path on the best way to handle the under studies' advantage particularly for the outside dialect class. Actually, English is considered as an outside dialect particularly for Thais.

Wiriyachitra (2002) brings up that Thais' level of English capability is low and has a poor order of English in correlation with numerous nations in Asia. Subsequently, the fundamental reason for the under studies from Walailak University joining this camp was to enhance their English dialect capability to be more skilled and inspire them in acing English dialect. The Department of International Languages from UniMAP has taken the errand to plan an English camp which its primary concern is to encourage English dialect and upgrade the under studies' inspiration. The four abilities of dialect specifically tuning in, talking, perusing and composing were the fundamental concentration of this course.

This review is an activity look into venture which portrays the effect of input gave to the under studies to cultivate their inspiration in composing amid English dialect lesson. Criticism can give capable impact in spurring the understudies to enhance and deliver great written work. A significant measure of work has been distributed about giving criticism on writing in outside dialect classrooms (Fathman & Whalley, 1990; Simpson, 2006; McGarrel & Verbeem, 2007; Ellis; 2009) and it has been brought up that input is vital to bolster the under studies' composition and lift up their level of inspiration. Indeed, criticism is a persuasive device to support and build up the under studies' written work expertise particularly to the lower capability understudies. Accepting criticism from the educators straightforwardly amid the written work handle in the classroom will help the under studies to extend the thought which will give an extraordinary effect to the under studies' composition. Numerous specialists and educators recognize that consolidating criticism and positive remarks will help the understudies for the change in their written work. For example, Soori, Kafipour and Souri (2011) have voiced out their view that grown-up dialect learners should be revised straightforwardly to maintain a strategic
distance from fossilization and keep creating semantic fitness. They likewise called attention to that understudies expect educator amendment on their composed mistakes and trust them accommodating. Extra support from Simpson (2006) likewise says that the criticism on the substance and association helps the understudies to enhance the nature of their composition. Glover and Brown (2006) in their review showed that input is successful if understudies follow up on it to enhance their future work and learning. Brophy (2003) outlines that understudy require particular, point by point input concerning both the qualities and shortcomings of their execution. Instructors ought to be set up to give the significant criticism if the understudies can’t perform in the written work under taking great.

It is fascinating to note that inspiration additionally assumes such a vital part on the improvement of the understudies’ composition as it is a main thrust for them to write definitively. A developing assemblage of analysts has expressed that inspiration is the most noteworthy variable which can decide the achievement in outside dialect learning. For example, Coleman, Galaczi and Astruc (2007) referred to from Gardner (1960) expressed that the motivational component is portrayed by a solid drive to take in the dialect and decided accomplishment in L2. Gardner (2001) called attention to, in a progression of his reviews showing inspiration as a dynamic develop, with integrative inspiration as its middle whereby the understudies’ enthusiastic and relevantly affected recognizable proof with the objective social gathering and have uplifting demeanor to L2 learning. Lo and Hyland (2007) highlighted that inspiration is affected by learners’ feeling of office and sentiments of authority and control over the learning movement and their enthusiasm for it. Subsequently, it is fundamental for the teacher to distinguish the component that can help the understudies in upgrading their self-inspiration particularly in composing assignment. Duppenthaler (2002) cited from Holmes and Moulton (1997) likewise said that "through reacting to the substance of understudies' written work and not amending mistakes, educators can...[control] full of feeling factors that influence the essayist's inspiration. The scientist trusts that giving input is an appropriate methodology that can pull in the understudies to concentrate on the composition errands and feel roused to keep enhancing their bit of composing. Keeping in mind the end goal to make the understudies inspiring amid the lesson, the educators have particularly planned and set up the written work lesson which can enrapture the understudies’ enthusiasm for composing. The written work errands give the chances to the understudies to compose relating on their encounters all through the camp. Thus, it is urgent to outline the written work under takings that can empower and propel the understudies to finish the particular composition errand given.

The Debate on Corrective Feedback

CF is a frequent practice in the field of education and in learning generally. It typically involves a student receiving either formal or informal feedback on his or her performance on various tasks by a teacher or peer(s).
Nativist Theory

It has been generalized that this theory, with Chomsky (1975) as the main proponent, claims that negative evidence (information of what is ungrammatical) hardly plays a role in the acquisition of a language. The Universal Grammar is conceived of as universal principles that are special to grammar formation.

Cognitive Theory

In the 1990s, Nativists began to be challenged by both empirical and theoretical research which has demonstrated that explicit grammar, error correction and/or focus on form could promote Second Language Acquisition (Aljaafreh & Lantolf, 1994; Doughty & Varela, 1998; Ellis, 1993, 1994; Long, 1996; Schmidt, 1990, 1993, 1995 cited by Russell, 2009). Long (1996), referring to SLA claims that negative evidence is essential for L2 acquisition, especially among adolescent and adult L2 learners.

Socio cultural Theory

Most recently, SLA researchers have begun to examine CF through the Socio cultural Theory. From this perspective language learning in particular is a dialogical process in which acquisition occurs in interaction and not as a result of interaction. That is L2 acquisition is a process in which the learner and other people interact. CF episodes are viewed as the space for studying how interaction mediates learning through the construction of ZPDs (zone of proximal development) (Cf. Ellis, 2009).

METHOD

Research Design

Both quantitative and qualitative data are collected. This study investigated how bilingual instruction affects students’ learning of the specific subjects that are taught in English. It also distinguished resources, difficulties and challenges the students faced in using bilingual instruction.

Participants

The population of the study is high school students from grad 1 to pre-university. They are Iranian whose mother tongue is not English and only a few of them use English on virtual site or pages which are in English. At high school the main medium of instruction is Persian and only English language is used in English classes. A total of 240 students from all grades at high school were chosen as participants who belong to 10 intact classes. They are 15 to 18 years old. These students are chosen as they have minimal English exposure outside of English classes and may not communicate in English language.

Instruments

The research instruments used in this research include a survey questionnaire and interview. To compare students’ and teachers’ perceptions and attitudes parallel
questionnaires were constructed. To gain more in-depth information about why teachers and students preferred a particular type or amount of feedback, qualitative data was also collected through open-ended questions. The open-ended questions allowed participants to describe in their own words, the reasons they had for their preferred feedback choices. Parallel questionnaires were constructed, piloted, and distributed to teachers and students. In this research different sets of structured and also open-ended questions were administered to the respondents. The interview was done by 10 students' conversations and 3 teachers' conversations recorded and transcribed.

RESULTS

Perception of Students and Teachers on the Use of Corrective Feedback

This review analyzed under studies' and instructors' discernments with respect to CF and not the viability of CF. We should perceive that blunder rectification is an intricate issue. For any mistake rectification methodology to be powerful, we ought to consider the way of the input, as well as the kind of blunder and how and when it ought to be revised. We ought to likewise consider the numerous different components that may influence the adequacy of mistake amendment incorporating the socio cultural setting in which the criticism is given and additionally different individual learner needs and contrasts. In a perfect world, WCF ought to be individualized. Be that as it may, individualized input would constitute a huge measure of work for educators and as exhibited in this review, instructors held time and exertion in high respect. Thusly, different methodologies could be viewed as, two of which would be under studies' remedy of their own mistakes and associate criticism (Lee, 2005). As Leki (1991) brought up, totally exact composition is an unlikely objective in light of the fact that "specific mistakes in composing may never vanish and in this way, tremendous uses of push to dispose of them might be inconsequential" (p. 204). As a general rule, under studies' mistakes regularly reoccur even after CF is given (Cohen, 1987; Cohen & Cavalcanti, 1990; Radecki & Swales, 1988). In this manner, it is vital that instructors remember the unpredictability of learning and settle on choices in view of under studies' desires, as well as on different variables that can impact the viability of input.

The Effects of Using Corrective Feedback on EFL Students' Motivation

The interviews were carried out with the two instructors of the camp to elicit their response concerning of the providing feedback approach to the students during the lesson. They also shared the same point of views with the researcher by stating that the students demonstrated the good progress in writing after they gave the comment to the content of writing. They also expressed their great feelings as the students have shown improvement in motivation toward writing and enjoyed the writing tasks. They acknowledged the importance of providing feedback and comment given to the students as the students felt more confident to convey their ideas and thought in written form.
CONCLUSION

As a rule, there were inclination clashes amongst educators and learners. Learners longed for more backhanded, understood and postponed amendments, though educators put stock in immediate, express and quick remedies. The utilization of a mix of adjustment sources would be more wise (Zhang 2012) and the aftereffects of this review highlight indicate that for EC be more effective, instructors ought to regard learners' convictions. This implies wherever the adjustment is a bit much, they can give it after the action in a more backhanded manner.

They ought not to turn unequivocal and quick adjustment at all circumstances. However, it ought to likewise be noticed that at whatever point certain blunders in the discussion create the impression that can frustrate the stream of discussion, instructors can fall back on prompt revision. Accordingly, the classifications of rectification i.e. sorts, times and sources are variable with respect to the circumstances and settings of learning and furthermore the individual qualities. To choose a suitable blunder rectification technique, instructors additionally need to consider social and situational setting. S/he needs to respect the level, age, needs, expertise, time, material and every single other component that may assume some part in the educating learning forms.

IMPLICATIONS OF THE STUDY

The discoveries of the present review have suggestions for educators. They ought to know about their understudies and the other instructors' suppositions, explore the likenesses and dissimilarities, contemplate their inclinations and act in the ways that outcome in more fulfillments and triumphs. In addition, as for mistake adjustment, educators ought to focus on the under studies' qualities and the situational components for choosing the proper time and technique for revision so as not to dishearten the learners from partaking in discussions.

SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

As proposal for further research, we might, considering the confinements above want to know how understudies feel about CF as a showing approach in L2 composing. Do they gain from it? Do they feel discouraged by it? This review should be possible with a mix of perceptions and meetings with under studies, ideally amid a more drawn out timeframe, similar to a semester or a year. Along these lines, we would have the capacity to see how under studies feel about CF, as well as whether they follow up on it and disguise it over the long haul of their dialect improvement.
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